arXiv:1002.1650v2 [math.GT] 17 Jun 2010

THE BORDISM VERSION OF THE H-PRINCIPLE

RUSTAM SADYKOV

Abstract. In view of the Segal construction each category with operation gives rise to a cohomology theory. We show that similarly each open stable differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ determines cohomology theories $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ of solutions and $h^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ of stable formal solutions of $\mathcal{R}$. We prove that $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $h^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ are equivalent under a mild condition.

For example, in the case of the covering differential relation our theorem is equivalent to the Barratt-Priddy-Quillen theorem asserting that the direct limit of classifying spaces $B\Sigma_n$ of permutation groups Σ_n of finite sets of n elements is homology equivalent to each path component of the infinite loop space $\Omega^\infty S^\infty$.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Cohomology theories of solutions and stable formal solutions 3
1.2. Result of the paper 4
1.3. Organization of the paper 5
1.4. Acknowledgments 5
2. The cohomology theory $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ of solutions of $\mathcal{R}$ 5
2.1. The classifying space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ of solutions of $\mathcal{R}$ 6
2.2. The classifying Γ-space of solutions of $\mathcal{R}$ 7
2.3. The cohomology theory $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ 8
3. The cohomology theory $h^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ of stable formal solutions of $\mathcal{R}$ 8
4. The natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{R}}\colon k^*_{\mathcal{R}} \to h^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ 10
5. Cobordism monoids of solutions and stable formal solutions 11
5.1. Cobordism monoids of solutions 11
5.2. Cobordism monoids of stable formal solutions 13
6. The classifying space $B\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ 15
7. The classifying space $Bh\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ 17
8. The bordism principle for maps of dimension < 1 19

Date: June 18, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55N20; Secondary 57R45, 53C23.

Key words and phrases. generalized (extraordinary) cohomology theory, topological monoids, topological group completion, h-principle, differential relations, categories, classifying spaces of categories, immersions, submersions, maps with prescribed singularities.

The author has been supported by the Postdoctoral Fellowship of University of Toronto.

9. The bordism principle for maps of dimension ≥ 1 20
10. The relation of the b-principle to the Gromov h-principle 22
10.1. Definition of the h-principle 22
10.2. The h-principle and b-principle for immersions 23
10.3. The h-principle and b-principle for a general differential relation 23
11. Applications of the b-principle 24
11.1. Cobordism groups of solutions of $\mathcal{R}$ 24
11.2. Invariants of solutions of $\mathcal{R}$ 25
12. Appendix 25
12.1. Differential relations 25
12.2. Classifying spaces of topological categories 27
12.3. (B, f) structures 28
References 28

1. Introduction

The *h-principle* is a general observation that differential geometry problems can often be reduced to problems in (*unstable*) homotopy theory. For example, let us consider three fairly different problems in differential geometry on the existence of structures on a given manifold M.

Problem 1: Does M admit a symplectic/contact structure?
Problem 2: Does M admit a foliation of a given codimension?
Problem 3: Does M admit a Riemannian metric of positive/negative scalar curvature?

According to a very general theorem of Gromov [14] each of the mentioned differential geometry problems reduces to a purely homotopy theory problem (which can be approached by standard homotopy theory methods) provided that the given manifold is open.

Similarly, the *b-principle* is a general observation that differential geometry problems can often be reduced to problems in *stable* homotopy theory. The known instances of the b-principle type phenomena include

Example 1: Barratt-Priddy-Quillen theorem.
Example 2: Eliashberg theorem on Legendrian immersions.
Example 3: Mumford conjecture on moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces.

We propose a general setting for the b-principle, and show that the b-principle phenomenon occurs for a fairly arbitrary (open stable) differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ imposed on smooth maps, and therefore the machinery of stable homotopy theory can be applied to perform explicit computations and find new invariants of solutions of the differential relation $\mathcal{R}$.

1.1. **Cohomology theories of solutions and stable formal solutions.** The *dimension* of a map $M \to N$ of manifolds is defined to be the difference $\dim M - \dim N$ of dimensions of M and N. We show that every open stable differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ (see §12.1) imposed on maps of a fixed dimension d determines a cohomology theory $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ of solutions of $\mathcal{R}$ and a cohomology theory $h^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ of stable formal solutions of $\mathcal{R}$.

Example 1.1 (Submersion differential relation)**.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the topological category that has one object for each closed manifold of dimension d and one morphism for each diffeomorphism. There is an operation on the set of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ given by taking the disjoint union of manifolds. Hence, by the Segal construction [31], the category $\mathcal{C}$ defines a spectrum $\{B_i\}$ of topological monoids indexed by $i \geq 1$. More explicitly, let $\sqcup \operatorname{BDiff} M$ denote the disjoint union of classifying spaces of diffeomorphism groups of closed manifolds of dimension d. It is a topological monoid with operation inherited from the obvious homomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Diff} M \times \operatorname{Diff} N \longrightarrow \operatorname{Diff}(M \sqcup N),$$
$$\alpha \times \beta \mapsto \alpha \sqcup \beta,$$

of diffeomorphism groups of manifolds M, N and $M \sqcup N$. The topological monoid B_1 in the spectrum $\{B_i\}$ is given by $\sqcup \operatorname{BDiff} M$, while each of the loop spaces ΩB_2, $\Omega^2 B_3$, ... is the group completion of the topological monoid B_1.

The cohomology theory k^* of solutions of the submersion differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ is associated with the infinite loop space of the spectrum $\{B_i\}$. The cohomology theory h^* of stable formal solutions of $\mathcal{R}$ is associated with the tangential structure $\mathrm{BO}_d \subset \mathrm{BO}$ of dimension d (see §12.3); in particular, for every manifold N, the group $h^0(N)$ is the cobordism group of maps $f\colon M \to N$ of dimension d of smooth manifolds equipped with a representation of the stable vector bundle $TM \ominus f^*TN$ by a vector bundle over M of dimension d, where TM and TN are the tangent bundles of M and N respectively.

We show that for each open stable differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ there is a natural transformation

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{R}}\colon k^*_{\mathcal{R}} \to h^*_{\mathcal{R}}.$$

of cohomology theories $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ of solutions and $h^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ of stable formal solutions of $\mathcal{R}$.

Definition 1.2. If, for a given open stable differential relation $\mathcal{R}$, the natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is an equivalence of the two cohomology theories, then we say that the *b-principle* for $\mathcal{R}$ holds true[1].

Example 1.3. In the case $d = 0$, the topological monoid B_1 of Example 1.1 is homotopy equivalent to the disjoint union $\sqcup B\Sigma_n$ of classifying spaces of permutation groups Σ_n of finite sets of $n \geq 0$ elements, while h^* is associated with the infinite loop space $\Omega^\infty S^\infty$ [9]. In this case the b-principle assertion is equivalent to the Barratt-Priddy-Quillen theorem.

---

[1] This is a refined version of the b-principle introduced in the paper [26]. The notion of the b-principle in the current paper is essentially stronger than that in [26].

Theorem 1.4 (Barratt-Priddy-Quillen, [2]). *For the submersion differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ imposed on maps of dimension $d = 0$, the cohomology theories k^* and h^* are equivalent. In other words, the group completion of the monoid $\sqcup B\Sigma_n$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to $\Omega^\infty S^\infty$.*

In general solutions to differential relations are difficult to understand. On the other hand, if holds true, the b-principle guarantees that the cohomology theory of solutions is equivalent to the cohomology theory of stable formal solutions, which can be understood by means of standard methods of stable homotopy theory [10], [23], [28], [34], [35]. The b-principle is a version of the *h-principle* (see §10), which is a general homotopy theoretic approach to solving partial differential relations (see the foundational book by Gromov [14], and a more recent book by Eliashberg and Mishachev [8]).

1.2. **Result of the paper.** Our main theorem asserts that the b-principle holds true under a mild assumption.

Theorem 1.5. *The natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}_\mathcal{R}$ is an equivalence for every open stable differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ imposed on maps of dimension $d < 1$, and for every open stable differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ imposed on maps of dimension $d \geq 1$ provided that each Morse function on a manifold of dimension $d + 1 \geq 2$ is a solution of the relation $\mathcal{R}$.*

Remark 1.6. The natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}_\mathcal{R}$ for the submersion differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ imposed on maps of dimension $d \geq 1$ is not an equivalence (see Remark 4.3). In this case $\mathfrak{A}_\mathcal{R}$ is closely related to the universal Madsen-Tillmann maps [11]. The case $d = 2$ is of particular interest [7], [11], [12], [22] as it is related to the Mumford Conjecture, which asserts that the cohomology groups of the stable moduli space of Riemann surfaces are isomorphic to those of the Madsen-Tillmann spectrum; in Example 5.9 we show that the cohomology theory classified by the Madsen-Tillmann spectrum consides with $h^*_\mathcal{R}$.

Theorem 1.5 is a generalization of the mentioned Barratt-Priddy-Quillen theorem (especially see the interpretation by Fuks [9]), the Wells theorem for immersions [36], Eliashberg theorems for k-mersions, Legendrian and Lagrangian immersions [6], [35], and general theorems of Ando [1], Szucs [34] and the author [26]. Our argument is different from those in the mentioned papers in that it does not rely on the h-principle for differential relations over closed manifolds (see §10 and Remark 7.3) and gives a more general and precise relation of solutions of $\mathcal{R}$ to stable formal solutions of $\mathcal{R}$.

1.3. **Organization of the paper.** For reader's convenience we include an Appendix with a review of necessary notions concerning differential relations, classifying spaces of topological categories, and (B, f) structures.

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a differential relation imposed on maps of a fixed dimension d. Take a copy Δ^n_f of the standard simplex Δ^n of dimension n, one for each proper solution $f\colon M \to \Delta^n$ transversal to each face $\Delta^k \subset \Delta^n$. For an open stable differential relation $\mathcal{R}$, such a solution f restricts to a solution over each face $\Delta^k \subset \Delta^n$. If a solution f over Δ^n restricts to a solution g over a face Δ^k, identify Δ^k_g with the corresponding face of Δ^n_f. The obtained topological space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} = (\sqcup \Delta^n_f)/_{\sim}$ satisfies a universal property (§2.1). Namely, given a proper solution $g\colon M \to N$, we may triangulate N so that g is transversal to faces of each simplex Δ in the triangulation. Then each simplex $\Delta \subset N$ together with $g|\Delta$ has a unique counterpart in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ and therefore there is a classifying map $N \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$. Its homotopy class depends only on g.

The disjoint union of solutions is again a solution. We show that this operation defines a coherent operation on the space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ (§2.2). In particular, the space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is homotopy equivalent to a topological monoid, whose group completion is an infinite loop space classifying a cohomology theory $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ of solutions (§2.3). A similar construction for stable formal solutions of $\mathcal{R}$ yields a universal space $h\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ and a cohomology theory $h^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ of stable formal solutions (§3, 5.2). There is a natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{R}}\colon k^*_{\mathcal{R}} \to h^*_{\mathcal{R}}$, which the b-principle asserts to be an equivalence of cohomology theories (§4).

To establish the bordism principle we consider two topological categories $B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}$; their spaces of objects are contractible, while the spaces of morphisms are homotopy equivalent to classifying spaces of topological monoids homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $h\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ respectively. The loop spaces of the classifying spaces of $B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ are the infinite loop spaces of $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $h^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ respectively, and there is a map $\alpha_{\mathcal{R}}\colon B(B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}) \to B(B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}})$ of classifying spaces such that $\Omega\alpha_{\mathcal{R}}$ classifies the natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{R}}$ (§6, 7). In the case of differential relations imposed on maps of dimension $d < 1$, the classifying spaces $B(B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}})$ and $B(B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}})$ are essentially the same. Thus we readily deduce the statement of Theorem 1.5 in the case $d < 1$ (§8). The case $d \geq 1$ of Theorem 1.5 is established in §9. Finally, we explain the relationship of the b-principle to the h-principle (§10), and list several applications (§11).

1.4. **Acknowledgments.** I am thankful to the referee for many important suggestions.

2. The cohomology theory $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ of solutions of $\mathcal{R}$

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an open stable differential relation imposed on maps of a fixed dimension d (see §12.1). We recall that an $\mathcal{R}$-*map* is a solution of the differential relation $\mathcal{R}$. Two simplest open stable differential relations, which the reader may want to keep in mind, are the immersion and submersion differential relations. In these cases $\mathcal{R}$-maps are respectively immersions and submersions $M \to N$ of arbitrary smooth manifolds with $\dim M - \dim N = d$.

2.1. **The classifying space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ of solutions of $\mathcal{R}$.** For a non-negative integer n the *extended n-simplex* Δ^n_e is defined to be the affine space

$$\Delta^n_e \colon = \{\, (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \,|\, x_0 + \cdots + x_n = 1 \,\}.$$

As in the case of standard simplices each extended simplex comes with face and degeneracy maps

$$\delta_i \colon \Delta^{n-1}_e \to \Delta^n_e, \qquad \delta_i \colon (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \mapsto (x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_i, \dots, x_{n-1}),$$

$$\sigma_j \colon \Delta^n_e \to \Delta^{n-1}_e, \qquad \sigma_i \colon (x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_0, \dots, x_i + x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n),$$

where the indices i and j range from 0 to n and $n-1$ respectively. An embedding

$$(f, \alpha) \colon V \hookrightarrow \Delta^n_e \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$$

of a smooth (not necessarily closed) manifold V is said to be an *$\mathcal{R}$-embedding to Δ^n_e* if f is a proper $\mathcal{R}$-map transversal to every face map of Δ^n_e, and α is an embedding to $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ with image in a compact set.

Lemma 2.1. *Given an $\mathcal{R}$-embedding (f, α) to Δ^n_e, each face and degeneracy map with target Δ^n_e pulls back an $\mathcal{R}$-embedding to Δ^{n-1}_e and Δ^{n+1}_e respectively.*

Proof. Let δ be one of the face maps of Δ^n_e. Since f is transversal to δ, there is a smooth manifold W defined by the pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} W \arrow[r, "\subset"] \arrow[d, "\delta^* f"'] & V \arrow[d, "f"'] \\ \Delta^{n-1}_e \arrow[r, "\delta"] & \Delta^n_e. \end{tikzcd}$$

A counterclockwise rotation of this pullback diagram shows that at each point $w \in W$ the map germ f at w unfolds the map germ $\delta^* f$ at w (see §12.1). Since the differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ is stable, this implies that $\delta^* f$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-map. Also $\delta^* f$ is proper. Consequently, the pullback $(\delta^* f, \alpha|W)$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-embedding to Δ^{n-1}_e. The statement of Lemma 2.1 for the degeneracy maps follows from the fact that each degeneracy map σ is a submersion and hence $\sigma^* f$ is a proper $\mathcal{R}$-map. □

Let X'_n denote the discrete set of triples (V, f, α) of smooth manifolds V and $\mathcal{R}$-embeddings (f, α) of V to Δ^n_e. There is an equivalence relation '$\sim$' on X'_n; two triples (V_i, f_i, α_i) are equivalent for $i = 1, 2$ if there is a diffeomorphism $h \colon V_1 \to V_2$ such that $f_1 = f_2 h$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 h$. Put $X_n \colon = X'_n/_\sim$. Essentially, Lemma 2.1 turns the correspondence $\Delta^n \mapsto X_n$ into a simplicial set X. For $i = 0, ..., n$, its i-th boundary operator $d_i \colon X_n \to X_{n-1}$ takes the equivalence class of an $\mathcal{R}$-embedding (f, α) onto the equivalence class of the pullback of (f, α) by means of

δ_i defined in Lemma 2.1. Similarly, for $j = 0, ..., n$, the j-th degeneracy operator $s_j \colon X_n \to X_{n+1}$ pulls back $\mathcal{R}$-embeddings by means of σ_j.

We denote the geometric realization of the simplicial set X by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$.

2.2. **The classifying Γ-space of solutions of $\mathcal{R}$.** In this section we define a coherent operation on the space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$. The coherence of the operation is formulated in terms of the Segal category Γ, which we recall here.

The *category* Γ consists of one object for each finite set $\mathbf{n} = \{1, ..., n\}$, with $n = 0, 1, ...$, and one morphism $\mathbf{n} \to \mathbf{m}$ for each map θ of the set $\mathbf{n}$ to the set of subsets of $\mathbf{m}$ such that $\theta(i)$ is disjoint from $\theta(j)$ for each pair of distinct elements $i, j \in \mathbf{n}$. The composition corresponding to two maps θ_1 and θ_2 is a morphism corresponding to the map $i \mapsto \theta_2(\theta_1(i))$. A *Γ-space* is a contravariant functor A from the category Γ to the category of topological spaces such that

- the space $A(\mathbf{0})$ is contractible, and
- for any n the map $A(\mathbf{n}) \to A(\mathbf{1}) \times \cdots \times A(\mathbf{1})$ induced by morphisms $i_k \colon \mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{n}$, where $i_k \colon 1 \mapsto \{k\}$, is a homotopy-equivalence.

Slightly abusing notation, we will also say that $A(\mathbf{1})$ has a structure of a Γ-space. Similarly, we will use the notation A both for the contravariant functor and for the space $A(\mathbf{1})$.

We put $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{1})$ to be $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$. In general for a positive integer m to define $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{m})$, we put $X'_k(\mathbf{m})$ to be the discrete set of sets

$$V = (V_1, \ldots, V_m, f_1, \ldots, f_m, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m, \ldots),$$

where the last triple dots stand for all symbols α_σ indexed by non-empty subsets of $\mathbf{m}$, the m triples (V_i, f_i, α_i) indexed by $i \in \mathbf{n}$ are elements in $X'_k = X'_k(\mathbf{1})$ and α_σ is an embedding to $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ of the disjoint union of manifolds V_j indexed by $j \in \sigma$ with image in a compact set. Two elements V and V' in $X'_k(\mathbf{m})$ are equivalent if there are diffeomorphisms $h_i \colon V_i \to V'_i$ such that

$$f_i = f'_i \circ h_i \qquad \text{and} \qquad \alpha_\sigma = \alpha'_\sigma \circ \big(\bigsqcup_{j \in \sigma} h_j\big)$$

for all i and σ; here symbols with prime stand for elements in the set V'. Put $X_k(\mathbf{m})\colon = X'_k(\mathbf{m})/_\sim$. The space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{m})$ is defined to be the geometric realization of the simplicial set $X(\mathbf{m}) \colon \Delta^k \mapsto X_k(\mathbf{m})/_\sim$; the boundary and degeneracy operators of $X(\mathbf{m})$ are respectively defined by means of actions of δ_i and σ_j given in Lemma 2.1. Given a morphism $\theta \colon \mathbf{n} \to \mathbf{m}$ in the category Γ, there is a map of simplicial sets $\theta_X \colon X(\mathbf{m}) \to X(\mathbf{n})$ defined in terms of representatives by the correspondence

$$(V_1, \ldots, f_1, \ldots, \alpha_1, \ldots) \mapsto (V_{\theta(1)}, \ldots, V_{\theta(n)}, f_{\theta(1)}, \ldots, f_{\theta(n)}, \alpha_{\theta(1)}, \ldots, \alpha_{\theta(n)}, \ldots),$$

where each $V_{\theta(i)}$ is the disjoint union of manifolds V_j indexed by $j \in \theta(i)$, and each $f_{\theta(i)}$ is a map of $V_{\theta(i)}$ that restricts to f_j on V_j for $j \in \theta(i)$. The geometric realization $|\theta_X|$ of θ_X is a continuous map of topological spaces $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{m}) \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{n})$;

it also immediately follows that compositions of morphisms θ in Γ correspond to compositions of continuous maps $|\theta_X|$. In section §5 we will show that the map

$$\gamma\colon \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{m}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{1}) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{1})$$

whose k-th component corresponds to the morphism $\mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{m}$ given by $\{1\} \to \{k\}$, is a homotopy equivalence. Thus $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ has a structure of a Γ-space. This Γ-space will be called the *classifying* Γ*-space* of $\mathcal{R}$-maps.

2.3. **The cohomology theory $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$.** In view of the Segal construction [31], the classifying Γ-space of $\mathcal{R}$-maps gives rise to a spectrum

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}, B\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}},\ B^2\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}},\ B^3\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}, \dots. \tag{1}$$

Thus, every open stable differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ imposed on maps of dimension d gives rise to a spectrum $\{B^i\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}\}$ of spaces indexed by $i \geq 0$, where we write $B^0\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $B^1\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $B\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ respectively. In fact $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is homotopy equivalent to a topological monoid, and each of the loop spaces $\Omega B\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$, $\Omega^2 B^2\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$, ... is its group completion [31]. The infinite loop space of the spectrum (1) is denoted by $\Omega^\infty\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Definition 2.2. The cohomology theory $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ is defined to be the cohomology theory classified by the infinite loop space $\Omega^\infty\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$. In particular, for each topological space X and each integer n,

$$k^n_{\mathcal{R}}(X) = [X, \Omega^{\infty-n}\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}].$$

The cohomology theory $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ is called the *cohomology theory of solutions of* $\mathcal{R}$.

Remark 2.3. The reader may show that the groups $k^n_{\mathcal{R}}(pt)$ are trivial for $n > d$.

3. THE COHOMOLOGY THEORY $h^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ OF STABLE FORMAL SOLUTIONS OF $\mathcal{R}$

Let us recall that a *formal solution* of a differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ is a continuous map $f\colon M \to N$ of smooth manifolds together with a continuous family $F = \{F_x\}$ of map germs

$$F_x\colon (T_xM, 0) \longrightarrow (f^*T_{f(x)}N, 0)$$

parametrized by $x \in M$ such that each map germ F_x is a solution of $\mathcal{R}$. For example, a formal immersion is a fiber bundle map $F\colon TM \to TN$ which is an injective linear monomorphism on each fiber. A *stable formal solution* is defined similarly by means of stable map germs.

Definition 3.1. A *stable map germ* or an *s-germ* is an equivalence class of smooth map germs

$$g\colon (M, x) \to (N, y) \tag{2}$$

under the relation generated by the equivalences

$$g \sim g \times \mathrm{id}\colon (M \times \mathbb{R}, x \times 0) \longrightarrow (N \times \mathbb{R}, y \times 0)$$

of map germs. We will denote the s-germ of a map germ g by the same symbol g. In particular, for an arbitrary s-germ g, the notation (2) will mean that the s-germ g is represented by a map from an open neighborhood of $x \times 0$ in $M \times \mathbb{R}^t$ for some $t \geq 0$; we note that g may not be representable by a map from an open neighborhood of x in M.

For a fixed pair of points x and y, the space $E(x, y)$ of s-germs (2) has a topology given by the colimit of Whitney C^∞ topologies. The set of all s-germs

$$E(M, N) = \bigsqcup_{x,y} E(x, y)$$

has a unique topology with respect to which it is the total space of a fiber bundle over $M \times N$ with fiber $E(x, y)$; locally the topology on $E(M, N)$ is the product of topologies on the fiber and base. The composition

$$E(M, N) \longrightarrow M \times N \longrightarrow M \tag{3}$$

of the fiber bundle projection and the projection onto the first factor is a fiber bundle over M.

Definition 3.2. For a stable differential relation $\mathcal{R}$, a *stable formal* $\mathcal{R}$*-map* $u \colon M \to N$ is a continuous map of dimension d of smooth manifolds together with a continuous family $F = \{F_x\}$ of s-germs

$$F_x \colon (T_xM, 0) \longrightarrow (u^*T_{u(x)}N, 0) \tag{4}$$

parametrized by $x \in M$ such that F_x is a solution germ of $\mathcal{R}$ for each x, i.e., a stable formal $\mathcal{R}$-map is a continuous section of the fiber bundle (3).

A stable formal $\mathcal{R}$-map u is said to be *proper* if the underlying map u is proper.

All the definitions and constructions that we used in the case of $\mathcal{R}$-maps, can be adopted to the case of stable formal $\mathcal{R}$-maps in an obvious way, e.g., the definition of the classifying Γ-space of stable formal $\mathcal{R}$-maps $h\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{R}$ is obtained from the definition of $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{R}$ by replacing proper $\mathcal{R}$-maps by proper stable formal $\mathcal{R}$-maps. The Segal construction applied to the Γ-space $h\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{R}$ gives rise to an infinite loop space which we denote by $\Omega^\infty h\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{R}$.

Definition 3.3. The cohomology theory $h^*_\mathcal{R}$ is defined to be the cohomology theory classified by the infinite loop space $\Omega^\infty h\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{R}$. In particular, for each topological space X and each integer n,

$$h^n_\mathcal{R}(X) = [X, \Omega^{\infty-n} h\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{R}].$$

The cohomology theory $h^*_\mathcal{R}$ is called the *cohomology theory of stable formal solutions of* $\mathcal{R}$.

Remark 3.4. Using the result of subsection §5.2 one may show that the groups $h^n_\mathcal{R}(pt) = \pi_0(\Omega^{\infty-n} h\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{R})$ are trivial for $n > d$.

4. THE NATURAL TRANSFORMATION $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{R}}\colon k^*_{\mathcal{R}} \to h^*_{\mathcal{R}}$

Every $\mathcal{R}$-map $u : M \to N$ comes with a canonical structure of a stable formal $\mathcal{R}$-map $F = \{F_x\}$. Indeed, we may assume that the manifolds M and N are Riemannian, and therefore for each point $x \in M$, there is a well-defined map germ

$$F_x\colon (T_xM, 0) \xrightarrow{\approx} (M, x) \xrightarrow{u} (N, F(x)) \xrightarrow{\approx} (T_{F(x)}N, 0),$$

where the first and the last maps identify open neighborhoods in the manifolds with open neighborhoods in tangent spaces by means of Riemannian structures. Consequently, there is a map of the classifying Γ-spaces $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \to h\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ corresponding to a natural transformation of cohomology theories $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $h^*_{\mathcal{R}}$, which we denote by

$$\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{R}}\colon k^*_{\mathcal{R}} \longrightarrow h^*_{\mathcal{R}}.$$

Definition 4.1. We say that an open stable differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ satisfies the *b-principle* if the natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is an equivalence.

The b-principle, which stands for the *bordism principle*, should be compared with the h-principle, or *homotopy principle* (see §10).

Remark 4.2. Already the existence of the natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}$ is useful. For example, by the Eilenberg-Steenrod theorem the natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}$ is an equivalence on all CW complexes if $\mathfrak{A}(pt)\colon k^*_{\mathcal{R}}(pt) \to h^*_{\mathcal{R}}(pt)$ is an isomorphism; e.g., see [33, Theorem 7.67].

Remark 4.3. The natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{R}}$ for the submersion differential relation imposed on oriented maps of dimension $d \geq 1$ is not an equivalence. In this case the space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the classifying space $\sqcup \operatorname{BDiff} M$ of Example 1.1. It is a topological monoid whose group completion is an infinite loop space classifying the cohomology theory $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$. The infinite loop space classifying the cohomology theory $h^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ is usually denoted by $\Omega^\infty\mathrm{MTSO(d)}$; see Examples 1.1, 5.9. The Pontrjagin-Thom construction yields a map

$$t\colon \sqcup \operatorname{BDiff} M \longrightarrow \Omega^\infty\mathrm{MTSO(d)}$$

which in its turn, by the universal property of group completions, gives rise to the map

$$\Omega B(\sqcup \operatorname{BDiff} M) \longrightarrow \Omega^\infty\mathrm{MTSO(d)}$$

classifying the natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{R}}$. The zero homotopy group of the space on the left hand side is the group completion of the monoid $\pi_0(\sqcup \operatorname{BDiff} M)$, while the group of path components of the space on the right hand side is computed by Ebert in [4]; these two groups are not isomorphic. Also recently Ebert computed the kernel of the induced homomorphism t^* in cohomology groups [5]. It is nontrivial for d odd (but trivial for d even).

In the case $d = 2$ the natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is an equivalence for an appropriately modified cohomology theory $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$. This fact is known as the generalized Mumford conjecture.

Remark 4.4. Another open stable differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ for which $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{R}}$ fails to be an equivalence is given by the minimal one whose solutions include functions with Morse singularities of index 0. In this case $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ describes the diffeomorphism group of the sphere of dimension d; see [29], [25].

5. COBORDISM MONOIDS OF SOLUTIONS AND STABLE FORMAL SOLUTIONS

5.1. **Cobordism monoids of solutions.** In this section we describe the homotopy type of the classifying space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ by means of homotopy classes $[N, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}]$ of maps of smooth manifolds N.

Definition 5.1. For $i = 1, 2$ two proper $\mathcal{R}$-maps $f_i\colon V_i \to W$ of manifolds are *cobordant* if there is a proper $\mathcal{R}$-map $f\colon V \to W \times [1, 2]$ of a manifold V with $\partial V = V_1 \sqcup V_2$ such that $f(V_i) \subset W \times \{i\}$ for $i = 1, 2$, and the restriction of f to collar neighborhoods of V_1 and V_2 in V can be identified with the disjoint union of suspensions

$$f_1 \times \mathrm{id}\colon V_1 \times [1, 1 + \delta) \longrightarrow W \times [1, 1 + \delta)$$

and

$$f_2 \times \mathrm{id}\colon V_2 \times (2 - \delta, 2] \longrightarrow W \times (2 - \delta, 2],$$

where id is the identity map of an appropriate space, and $\delta > 0$ is a sufficiently small real number.

The set of cobordism classes of proper $\mathcal{R}$-maps into a manifold N forms a commutative monoid, called the *monoid of proper $\mathcal{R}$-maps into N*, with operation given by taking the disjoint union of maps, i.e., the sum of cobordism classes of two proper $\mathcal{R}$-maps $f_i\colon V_i \to N$, with $i = 1, 2$, is defined to be the cobordism class of the composition

$$V_1 \sqcup V_2 \xrightarrow{f_1 \sqcup f_2} N \sqcup N \longrightarrow N$$

where the latter map is given by the identity map on each of the two components of $N \sqcup N$. The identity element is represented by the map of an empty manifold.

Theorem 5.2. *The space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is a classifying space of $\mathcal{R}$-maps, i.e., for every manifold N there is a bijective correspondence between the set of cobordism classes of $\mathcal{R}$-maps to N and homotopy classes $[N, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}]$.*

Proof. The argument is similar to that given by Madsen and Weiss [22, §A.1]; for reader's convenience we sketch the construction of the correspondence (we will use it later).

Given a proper $\mathcal{R}$-map f to N, let α be an embedding of the source manifold of f to $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ with image in a compact set. Choose a triangulation of N with ordered vertex set and extend the embedding $\Delta^n \to N$ of each simplex in the triangulation to an embedding $j\colon \Delta^n_e \to N$ so that all embeddings of extended simplices are coherent and transversal to f. Then the maps $(j^*f, \alpha|f^{-1}j(\Delta^n_e))$ are $\mathcal{R}$-embeddings each of which has a unique counterpart in the geometric realization $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$. In view of coherency of embeddings j, we obtain a map $N \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$. Its homotopy class depends only on the cobordism class $[f]$.

Conversely, given a map $g\colon N \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$, choose a triangulation of N and modify g by homotopy so that it takes each simplex of dimension $k \geq 0$ in the triangulation of N linearly onto a simplex of dimension $\leq k$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$. There is a smooth homotopy h_t of $h_0 = \mathrm{id}_N$ with $t \in [0,1]$ such that

- h_t maps each simplex of the triangulation of N onto itself for each t,
- for each simplex Δ in the triangulation of N, the restriction of h_t to $h_t^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta})$ is a submersion onto the interior $\mathring{\Delta}$ of Δ, and
- each simplex has a neighborhood that maps by h_1 onto the simplex.

Indeed to construct the homotopy h_t, we may choose by induction in $n \geq 0$ a homotopy h^n_t of the (non-extended) simplex Δ^n so that $h^n_t\delta_n = \delta_n h^{n-1}_t$ for each n and t. Then the homotopies $\{h^n_t\}$ of the identity maps of simplices in the triangulation of N agree and define a desired homotopy h_t.

The map gh_1 pulls back a desired $\mathcal{R}$-map to N. $\square$

Corollary 5.3. *The cohomology group $k^0_{\mathcal{R}}(pt)$ of a point is the group completion of the monoid of cobordism classes of $\mathcal{R}$-maps to the point.*

Remark 5.4. Given a manifold N, the group $k^0_{\mathcal{R}}(N)$ may not be the group completion of the monoid of cobordism classes of $\mathcal{R}$-maps to N. Indeed, the cobordism monoid of coverings of S^n for $n \geq 2$ is isomorphic to the monoid $\mathbb{N}$ of positive integers. On the other hand $k^0_{\mathcal{R}}(S^n) = [S^n, \Omega^\infty S^\infty] = \mathbb{Z} \times \pi^{st}_n$.

Remark 5.5. In singularity theory, the term *bordism monoids of $\mathcal{R}$-maps* is often replaced with the term *cobordism monoids of $\mathcal{R}$-maps.* These two notions are different. For example, the cobordism group of $\mathcal{R}$-maps to N is not isomorphic to the bordism group of $\mathcal{R}$-maps to N for $N = \mathbb{R}$ in the case where $\mathcal{R}$ is the *Morse differential relation*, i.e., the minimal open stable differential relation satisfied by Morse functions (for computations see the papers [16], [17], [18]).

In the rest of the subsection we complete the proof of the assertion that the space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ has a structure of a Γ-space.

Theorem 5.6. *The map γ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. To simplify the notation we will assume that $m = 2$. In general the argument is similar. Let p and q be two distinguished vertices in the source and target of γ such that $\gamma(p) = q$. The point q corresponds to two embeddings,

$$(g_i, \beta_i)\colon M_i \longrightarrow \Delta^0_e \times \mathbb{R}^\infty, \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

while the point p corresponds to a set $(M_1, M_2, g_1, g_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_{1,2})$ where

$$\beta_{12}\colon M_1 \sqcup M_2 \longrightarrow \Delta^0_e \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$$

is an embedding. To show that γ_* is surjective in homotopy groups in degree $n > 0$, pick an element in the target of γ_*. By Theorem 5.2 it corresponds to a pair of cobordism classes of maps

$$(f_i, \alpha_i)\colon V_i \longrightarrow S^n \times \mathbb{R}^\infty, \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

where V_i, f_i and α_i are a smooth manifold, a proper $\mathcal{R}$-map and an embedding with image in a compact set respectively. Furthermore, the pullback of (f_i, α_i) with respect to the inclusion of the base point $\Delta^0_e \to S^n$ is equivalent to (g_i, β_i) in $X_0(\mathbf{1})$. In particular we may identify M_i with a submanifold of V_i. Let α_{12} be an embedding of $V_1 \sqcup V_2$ to $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ extending β_{12} with image in a compact set. Then, in view of the construction in the proof of Theorem 5.2, the set $(V_1, V_2, f_1, f_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_{12})$ represents a desired homotopy class of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{m})$. A similar argument shows that γ induces an isomorphism of path components and that γ_* is injective. □

5.2. **Cobordism monoids of stable formal solutions.** As in the case of $\mathcal{R}$-maps we may define cobordism equivalence on proper stable solutions. Again the set of cobordism classes of proper stable formal $\mathcal{R}$-maps into a manifold N forms a commutative *monoid of stable formal solutions of* $\mathcal{R}$*-maps* with operation given by taking the disjoint union of maps. The space $h\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the classifying space of stable formal maps, i.e., for every manifold N there is a bijective correspondence between the set of cobordant classes of stable formal $\mathcal{R}$-maps to N and homotopy classes $[N, h\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}]$.

In the rest of the subsection we give a description of the space $h\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ by means of a tangential structure.

Let B be the topological space of equivalence classes of triples (i, π, P) of a map germ $i\colon (\mathbb{R}^{n+d}, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^\infty, 0)$ and a linear projection germ $\pi\colon (\mathbb{R}^\infty, 0) \to (P, 0)$ onto a subspace $P \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$ of dimension $n \geq 0$ such that the map germ $\alpha = \pi \circ i$ is a solution germ of $\mathcal{R}$. The equivalence relation is generated by equivalences $(i, \pi, P) \sim (i', \pi', P')$ with

$$i'\colon (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+d}, 0) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \times i} (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^\infty, 0) \xrightarrow{\equiv} (\mathbb{R}^\infty, 0),$$

$$\pi'\colon (\mathbb{R}^\infty, 0) \xrightarrow{\equiv} (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^\infty, 0) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \pi} (\mathbb{R} \times P, 0) = (P', 0)$$

where $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the identity map of $\mathbb{R}$, the identification $\mathbb{R}^\infty \equiv \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ of vector spaces is defined in terms of the standard basis $e_1, e_2, \dots$ of $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ by splitting off the factor $\mathbb{R}$ spanned by e_1, and

$$(P', 0) \subset (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^\infty, 0) \equiv (\mathbb{R}^\infty, 0).$$

For each equivalence class of triples (i, π, P) there is a well-defined point $T_0\mathbb{R}^{n+d} \ominus \alpha^*P$ in the classifying space $\mathrm{BO} \times \{d\}$ of stable vector bundles of dimension d, where $T_0\mathbb{R}^{n+d}$ and P are the tangent spaces at 0 of the source and target spaces of α respectively. In the tangential structure $(B, f) = (B_\mathcal{R}, f_R)$ in terms of representatives the stable vector bundle f of dimension d over B is defined by

$$f\colon B \longrightarrow \mathrm{BO} \times \{d\},$$

$$f\colon (i, \pi, P) \mapsto T_0\mathbb{R}^{n+d} \ominus \alpha^* P.$$

Remark 5.7. Since the differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ is imposed on derivatives of smooth maps, one may replace map germs in the construction of B with jets of map germs.

Remark 5.8. Alternatively, the map $f\colon B \to \mathrm{BO} \times \{d\}$ can be described by means of the Borel construction [26].

Example 5.9. For the submersion differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ imposed on maps of dimension d, the space B can be identified with the space of equivalence classes of linear maps $\alpha\colon \mathbb{R}^{n+d} \to \mathbb{R}^\infty$ of rank n for some $n \geq 0$ with the equivalence relation generated by equivalences $\alpha \sim \alpha'$, where

$$\alpha\colon \mathbb{R}^{n+d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$$

and

$$\alpha'\colon \mathbb{R}^{n+d+1} \xrightarrow{\equiv} \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+d} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_\mathbb{R} \times \alpha} \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^\infty \xrightarrow{\equiv} \mathbb{R}^\infty.$$

There is a vector bundle $\ker \alpha$ of dimension d over B whose fiber over the class of α is given by the kernel of α. Thus, there is a well-defined map $\ker \alpha\colon B \to \mathrm{BO}_d$. The fiber of this map is contractible and therefore $B \simeq \mathrm{BO}_d$. The map $f\colon B \to \mathrm{BO} \times \{d\}$ of the tangential structure is the standard inclusion $\mathrm{BO}_d \to \mathrm{BO}$ since it classifies the stable vector bundle $T_0\mathbb{R}^{n+d} \ominus \alpha^* P$ represented by the kernel of the map α.

Lemma 5.10. *The cobordism classes of stable formal $\mathcal{R}$-maps to a manifold N are in bijective correspondence with cobordism classes of $(B_\mathcal{R}, f_\mathcal{R})$ maps to N.*

Proof. Every stable formal $\mathcal{R}$-map u comes with a tangential $(B_\mathcal{R}, f_\mathcal{R})$ structure. Indeed, let $F = \{F_x\}$ be a structure of a stable formal $\mathcal{R}$-map on u, i.e., F is a continuous family of s-germs (4) indexed by $x \in M$. It determines a continuous family $\{G_x\}$ of s-germs

$$(\varepsilon^{n+d}, 0) \xrightarrow{\approx} (T_xM \oplus (\varepsilon^{n+d} \ominus T_xM), 0) \xrightarrow{F_x \oplus \mathrm{id}} (u^*T_{u(x)}N \oplus (\varepsilon^{n+d} \ominus T_xM), 0) \approx (\mathbf{P}|x, 0)$$

indexed by $x \in M$, where n is a sufficiently big positive integer such that the stable vector bundle $\varepsilon^{n+d} \ominus TM$ can be represented by a vector bundle; and $\mathbf{P}$ stands for the vector bundle $u^*TN \oplus (\varepsilon^{n+d} \ominus TM)$. We note that the vector bundle $\mathbf{P}$ represents a stable vector bundle $\varepsilon^{n+d} \ominus (TM \ominus u^*TN)$. Let $\tau\colon M \to \mathrm{BO}$ denote the stable tangent bundle $TM \ominus u^*TN$ of u of dimension d. Then the desired tangential structure on M is the lift of τ to the map $M \to B$ given by $x \mapsto G_x$.

Conversely, let $u\colon M \to N$ be a continuous map equipped with a tangential (B, f) structure, namely, with a map $\xi\colon M \to B$ such that $f \circ \xi$ coincides with the map classifying the stable tangent bundle $TM \ominus TN$ of u. Then

$$G_x = \xi(x)\colon (\mathbb{R}^{n+d}, 0) \longrightarrow (P, 0) \approx (\varepsilon^{n+d} \ominus (T_xM \ominus u^*T_{u(x)}N), 0),$$

is a continuous family of map germs, where n is a sufficiently big positive integer such that the stable vector bundle $\varepsilon^{n+d} \ominus TM$ can be represented by a vector bundle over M of dimension $n + d - \dim M$. Then the desired family is

$$F_x\colon (\varepsilon^{n+d} \oplus T_xM, 0) \xrightarrow{G_x \oplus \mathrm{id}} (\varepsilon^{n+d} \ominus (T_xM \ominus u^*T_{u(x)}N) \oplus T_xM, 0) \approx (\varepsilon^{n+d} \oplus u^*T_{u(x)}N, 0).$$

These two constructions are sufficient to establish Lemma 5.10. □

Corollary 5.11. *The monoid of stable formal $\mathcal{R}$-maps into a given manifold N is a group. It is isomorphic to the group $[N, \Omega^\infty \mathbf{B}_\mathcal{R}]$ of homotopy classes of maps to the infinite loop space of the tangential structure $(B_\mathcal{R}, f_\mathcal{R})$.*

Corollary 5.12. *The b-principle for an open stable differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ holds true if and only if the map $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{R} \to h\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{R}$ is a group completion.*

Example 5.13. The case of the covering differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ shows that the bordism principle may hold even if $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{R} \to h\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{R}$ is not a homotopy equivalence.

6. The classifying space $B\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{R}$

In this section we study the homotopy type of the space $B\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{R}$ by describing the sets $[N, B\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{R}]$ of homotopy classes of maps of smooth manifolds N; we show that these sets are isomorphic to the sets of concordance classes of $\mathcal{C}$ bundles (U_R, c_R, φ_{RS}) over N for an appropriate category $\mathcal{C}$; see Definition 12.2. Such a bundle consists of a cover of N by open sets U_R; smooth functions c_R on U_R, one for each index R, such that if $U_S \subset U_R$ then $c_R < c_S$ over U_S and the set $\{\, x \in U_S \mid c_R(x) = c_S(x) \,\}$ is open; and transition functions φ_{RS}, one for each pair of indices R and S with $U_S \subset U_R$. Each transition function φ_{RS} is represented by a smooth map

$$(f, \alpha, \beta)\colon V \longrightarrow U_S \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{\infty-1}$$

where f is an $\mathcal{R}$-map of a smooth manifold V, the map (f, α) is proper, the map (α, β) is an embedding with image of β in a compact set, while the image $\alpha(f^{-1}(x))$ is in the disc $[c_S(x) + \delta, c_R(x) - \delta]$ for each $x \in U_S$ and some strictly positive function $\delta = \delta(x)$.

To begin with we define a simplicial set Y. Its definition is obtained from the definition of the simplicial set X by replacing the equivalence classes of sets (V, f, α) in X_n by the equivalence classes of sets (V, f, α, β, a) of a smooth manifold V, a non-negative real function a on Δ^n_e, and an embedding

$$(f, \alpha, \beta)\colon V \longrightarrow \Delta^n_e \times [0, a] \times \mathbb{R}^{\infty-1}$$

where f is a proper $\mathcal{R}$-map, (α, β) is an embedding with image in a compact set, and α is a map with $\alpha(f^{-1}(x))$ in $(\varepsilon(x), a(x)-\varepsilon(x))$ for each x and some strictly positive function $\varepsilon(x)$. We require that the set $\{a(x)=0\}$ is open. It follows that V is empty if $a \equiv 0$. The boundary and degeneracy operators in Y are the obvious ones. The geometric realization of the so-obtained simplicial set Y is denoted by $|Y|$. Clearly $|Y|$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$. We also note that the functions a on simplices piece together to form a function a_Y on $|Y|$.

Lemma 6.1. *The simplicial set Y is a simplicial monoid, i.e., it is a contravariant functor from the simplicial category to the category of monoids.*

Proof. In dimension $n \geq 0$ the product $Y_n \times Y_n \to Y_n$ is defined in terms of representatives by

$$(V_1, f_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1, a_1) \times (V_2, f_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2, a_2) \mapsto (V, f, \alpha, \beta, a),$$

where V is the disjoint union of V_1 and V_2, the map f equals f_i on the path component V_i for $i=1,2$; similarly, the component β equals β_i on V_i, while $a = a_1 + a_2$ and $\alpha|V_i$ is the composition of α_i and the inclusion $[0, a_i] \to [0, a_1+a_2]$ given by $s \mapsto s$ if $i=1$ and $s \mapsto s + a_1$ if $i=2$ for $s \in [0, a_i]$. It is readily verified that this operation gives Y_n a structure of a monoid and these structures are compatible for different n. ☐

Corollary 6.2. *The space $|Y|$ is a topological monoid.*

Lemma 6.3. *The classifying space $B|Y|$ of the topological monoid $|Y|$ is homotopy equivalent to $B\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$.*

Proof. The space $\mathcal{M}'_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{1})\colon = |Y|$ has a structure of a Γ-space defined similarly to the structure of a Γ-space on the space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{1})$. Furthermore, the projection $\mathcal{M}'_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{1}) \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{1})$ extends to an isomorphism of Γ-spaces. On the other hand, the space $B\mathcal{M}'_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the classifying space of the topological monoid $|Y|$. ☐

Every topological monoid is the space of morphisms of a topological category with one object. In this sense every topological monoid determines a topological category. The topological category of $|Y|$ is weakly equivalent to the topological category $B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ with one object for each real number, and with one non-trivial morphism from a' to b' for each $x \in |Y|$ with positive $a_Y(x) = b' - a'$. The topologies on the space $\operatorname{Ob} B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ of objects and the space $\operatorname{Mor} B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ of morphisms are defined so that $\operatorname{Ob} B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\operatorname{Mor} B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathbb{R} \sqcup \{(a', x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times |Y|\}.$$

On one hand side the classifying spaces of the category $B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ and the topological monoid $|Y|$ are homotopy equivalent. In particular, $B(B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}) \simeq B\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$. On the other hand, the classifying space of $B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ has a nice geometric interpretation as it classifies concordance classes of $B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundles (U_R, c_R, φ_{RS}); see Definition 12.2.

We also observe that in view of the construction in the proof of Theorem 5.2, we may assume that the bundles of objects c_R and transition functions φ_{RS} are as described in the beginning of the section.

7. The classifying space $Bh\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$

In this section we study the homotopy type of the space $Bh\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ by describing the sets $[N, Bh\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}]$ of homotopy classes of maps for each smooth manifolds N; again we show that this set is isomorphic to the set of concordance classes of $\mathcal{C}$ bundles (U_R, c_R, φ_{RS}) over N for an appropriate category $\mathcal{C}$. Such a bundle consists of a cover of N by open subsets U_R of N; sets c_R, one for each index R; and transition functions φ_{RS}, one for each pair of indices R and S with $U_S \subset U_R$. A set $c_R = (V_R, f_R, \alpha_R, \beta_R, a_R)$ consists of a smooth manifold V_R, a smooth function a_R on U_R and an embedding

$$(f_R, \alpha_R, \beta_R) \colon V_R \longrightarrow U_R \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{\infty-1}$$

such that f_R is an $\mathcal{R}$-map, (f_R, α_R) is a proper map, and (α_R, β_R) is an embedding with image of β_R in a compact set. The functions a_R are subject to the conditions that

$$U_R \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{id}_{U_R}, a_R)} U_R \times \mathbb{R}$$

are transversal to (f_R, α_R); and if $U_S \subset U_R$, then $a_S \leq a_R$ and the set $\{\, x \,|\, a_S(x) = a_R(x)\}$ is open. Furthermore, we require that the manifold $\{y \in f_R^{-1}(x) | a_R(y) = 0\}$ is null cobordant for $x \in U_R$. Each transition function φ_{RS} is given by a diffeomorphism $h\colon V_S \to f_R^{-1}(U_S)$ such that

$$f_S = f_R \circ h, \quad \alpha_S = \alpha_R \circ h, \quad \beta_S = \beta_R \circ h.$$

To begin with we define a simplicial set Z. Its definition is similar to the definition of Y, except we use proper stable formal $\mathcal{R}$-maps instead of proper $\mathcal{R}$-maps. In particular, a simplex in Z of dimension n is a set (V, f, α, β, a) of a smooth manifold V, a non-negative function a on Δ^n_e, and an embedding

$$(f, \alpha, \beta) \colon V \longrightarrow \Delta^n_e \times [0, a] \times \mathbb{R}^{\infty-1}$$

where f is a proper stable formal $\mathcal{R}$-map, α is a map with $\alpha(f^{-1}(x))$ in $[\varepsilon, a - \varepsilon]$ for each $x \in \Delta^n_e$ for some strictly positive $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x)$, and β is an embedding. The geometric realization $|Z|$of the simplicial set Z is homotopy equivalent to $h\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$. As above we deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 7.1. *The simplicial set Z is a simplicial monoid. In particular $|Z|$ is a topological monoid. The classifying space $B|Z|$ is homotopy equivalent to $Bh\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$.*

In view of Lemma 7.1 we obtain a description of the set $[N, Bh\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}]$ similar to the description we obtained for the set $[N, B\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}]$. In particular the topological category of the topological monoid $|Z|$ is weakly equivalent to the topological category $B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}$ defined similarly to $B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ by replacing Y with Z. Thus, the space

$Bh\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ classifies concordant classes of $B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundles. In the rest of the section we will show that this description of $[N, Bh\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}]$ is equivalent to the description given in the beginning of the section.

For a pointed topological space X, let $\Omega_M X$ denote the space of pointed Moore loops in X, i.e., the space of maps $[0,a] \to X$ with $a \geq 0$ taking 0 and a onto the distinguished point of X. Clearly, $\Omega_M X \simeq \Omega X$ and the space $\Omega_M X$ is a topological monoid with operation given by concatenation of loops. Let $\Omega_0^{\infty-1}\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{R}}$ denote the path component of $\Omega^{\infty-1}\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{R}}$ of the distinguished point.

Lemma 7.2. *The topological monoids* $\Omega_M\Omega_0^{\infty-1}\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{R}}$ *and* $|Z|$ *are homotopy equivalent.*

Proof. A simplex in Z of dimension n is a set (V, f, α, β, a) of a smooth manifold V, a non-negative function a on Δ_e^n and an embedding

$$(f, \alpha, \beta)\colon V \longrightarrow \Delta_e^n \times [0,a] \times \mathbb{R}^{\infty-1}$$

where f is a proper stable formal $\mathcal{R}$-map transversal to face maps, (α, β) is an embedding with image of β in a compact set, and α is a map such that $\alpha(f^{-1}(x))$ is in $[\varepsilon(x), a(x) - \varepsilon(x)]$ for some $\varepsilon(x) > 0$ and each $x \in \Delta_e^n$.

The simplicial monoid Z is weak equivalent to a similarly defined simplicial monoid $\tilde{Z}$ whose simplex in dimension n is a set $(V, f, \alpha, \beta, a, r)$ where the first five entries is a simplex in Z and $r > 0$ is a real number such that the submanifold $(f, \alpha, \beta)(V)$ has a tubular neighborhood of radius r. In view of Lemma 5.10, by the Pontrjagin-Thom construction, each simplex of dimension n in $\tilde{Z}$ determines a map $\Delta_e^n \to \Omega_M\Omega_0^{\infty-1}\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{R}}$. In fact we obtain a weak equivalence of simplicial monoids

$$\tilde{Z} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sing}(\Omega_M\Omega_0^{\infty-1}\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{R}})$$

where Sing is the functor from the category of topological spaces to the category of simplicial sets; the functor Sing takes a topological space onto its singular complex. Consequently, the topological monoid $|Z|$ is homotopy equivalent to the topological monoid $\Omega_M\Omega_0^{\infty-1}\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{R}}$. □

Lemma 7.2 implies that the classifying space $B|Z|$ is homotopy equivalent to the space $\Omega_0^{\infty-1}\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{R}}$. On the other hand, it has been shown in [27] that the concordance classes of $B\mathcal{D}$ bundles to a manifold N described in the beginning of the section are in bijective correspondence with the set $[N, \Omega_0^{\infty-1}\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{R}}]$.

For reader's convenience we sketch the construction. Given a map $N \to \Omega_0^{\infty-1}\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{R}}$, the Pontrjagin-Thom construction yields a map

$$(f, \alpha, \beta)\colon V \longrightarrow N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{\infty-1}$$

of a smooth manifold V, where f is a stable formal $\mathcal{R}$-map, (f, α) is proper, and (α, β) is an embedding with image of β in a compact set. We may assume that α is a Morse function. It follows that for each regular value of (f, α), its inverse image is a null cobordant manifold. We may choose (f, α, β) so that each path component of V is open. Then by the Gromov h-principle, the map (f, α, β) can be modified so that f is an $\mathcal{R}$-map. We can modify α so that (f, α) is proper. Finally we can find desired functions $a_R(x)$ over small open sets U_R by a general position argument. We warn the reader that the argument that this construction yields a bijection is not immediate, see [26].

Remark 7.3. We emphasize that the argument in [27] uses the h-principle only over open manifolds. We do not need to require that the h-principle for $\mathcal{R}$ holds over closed manifolds. Note that in the next section we prove the b-principle for coverings, while the h-principle for coverings over closed manifolds is not true.

8. THE BORDISM PRINCIPLE FOR MAPS OF DIMENSION < 1

The map $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \to h\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ of Γ-spaces give rise to the map of classifying spaces

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{R}} \colon B(B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}) \longrightarrow B(B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}). \tag{5}$$

Though the descriptions of the $B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundles that we gave are fairly different, every $B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundle determines a $B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundle in the obvious way. The map (5) gives rise to the map of infinite loop spaces

$$\Omega B(B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}) \longrightarrow \Omega B(B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}), \tag{6}$$

which coincides with the map of infinite loop spaces classifying the cohomology theories $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $h^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ that corresponds to the natural transformation $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{R}}$. Thus we obtain an alternative formulation of the b-principle in terms of category theories.

Definition 8.1 (B-principle in terms of classifying spaces of categories)**.** We say that the *b-principle* for an open stable differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ holds true if the map $\alpha_{\mathcal{R}}$ of topological spaces is a homotopy equivalence.

As we have seen, Definitions 4.1 and 8.1 are equivalent. On the other hand, Definition 8.1 is easier to work with. For example, we can immediately derive Theorem 1.5 in the case where $d < 1$, including the Barratt-Priddy-Quillen Theorem (see Theorem 1.4).

Proof of Theorem 1.5 for $d < 1$. The concordance class of a $B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundle is represented by a triple (U_R, c_R, φ_{RS}) of an open cover of the base space by contractible open spaces U_R, a bundle of objects c_R over each set U_R, and transition functions φ_{RS} (see Definition 12.2). For each index R, the bundle of objects c_R consists of a function a_R over U_R and an embedding

$$(f_R, \alpha_R, \beta_R) \colon V \longrightarrow U_R \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{\infty-1}$$

of a manifold V such that f_R is an $\mathcal{R}$-map, (f_R, α_R) is a proper map, and (α_R, β_R) is an embedding with image of β_R in a compact set. Since $d \leq 0$ and each set U_R is contractible, we may modify the given $B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundle by concordance so that $\alpha_R \neq a_R$ for each $x \in U_R$. Then, it determines a concordance class of $B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundles.

Thus the induced homomorphism α_* of homotopy groups of classifying spaces is surjective. A similar argument shows that α_* is injective.

□

9. THE BORDISM PRINCIPLE FOR MAPS OF DIMENSION ≥ 1

In this section we establish the b-principle for maps of dimension $d \geq 1$. Using the equivalence of Definitions 4.1 and 8.1, we may reformulate the b-principle for maps of dimension ≥ 1 as follows.

Theorem 9.1. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ by an open stable differential relation imposed on maps of dimension $d \geq 1$. Then the map $\alpha_{\mathcal{R}}\colon B(B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}) \to B(B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}})$ is a homotopy equivalence under the assumption that every Morse function on a manifold of dimension $d+1$ is a solution of $\mathcal{R}$.*

To begin with we prove Lemma 9.2.

Lemma 9.2. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ by an open stable differential relation imposed on maps of dimension $d \geq 1$ such that every Morse function on a manifold of dimension $d+1$ is a solution of $\mathcal{R}$. Then every $B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundle over a point is concordant to a $B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundle.*

Corollary 9.3. *The map $\alpha_{\mathcal{R}}\colon B(B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}) \to B(B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}})$ induces a bijection on the sets of path components of classifying spaces provided that every Morse function on a manifold of dimension $d+1$ is a solution of $\mathcal{R}$.*

Proof of Lemma 9.2. The concordance class of a $B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundle over a point is represented by a smooth manifold V_0, a map

$$(f_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0)\colon V_0 \longrightarrow \Delta^0_e \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{\infty-1},$$

where α_0 is a proper function and (α_0, β_0) is an embedding with image of β_0 in a compact set, and a finite sequence of numbers $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k$ such that for each number a_i the map α_0 is transversal to a_i and the manifold $M_i\colon = \alpha_0^{-1}(a_i)$ is null cobordant, i.e., there is a compact manifold T_i with boundary diffeomorphic to M_i. We may assume that α_0 is a Morse function. Then for each $i = 1, ..., k$, there is a positive real number δ_i such that the interior of the interval $I_i = [a_i - 2\delta_i, a_i]$ contains neither critical values of α_0 nor numbers a_j. Furthermore, we may identify $\alpha_0^{-1}(I_i)$ with $M_i \times I_i$; and assume that $\alpha_0 | M_i \times I_i$ is the projection onto the second factor, while $\beta_0 | M_i \times I_i$ does not depend on the second argument.

The manifold V_0 with interiors of $\alpha_0^{-1}(I_i)$ removed has two boundary components diffeomorphic to M_i for each i. Let us cap off the boundary components of V_0 by means of manifolds T_i. The obtained manifold is denoted by V_1, while the union of all attached manifolds is denoted by T. There is a Morse function α_1 on V_1 such that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0$ on $V_1 \setminus T$, the images of T_i are in I_i and $\alpha_1 | T$ does not assume the values $a_i - \delta_i$.

Next for each $i = 1, 2, ..., k$ we define a continuous family of functions

$$u_{t,i}\colon [a_i - 2\delta_i, a_i] \to [a_i - 2\delta_i, a_i] \tag{7}$$

parametrized by $t \in (0, 1]$ such that $u_{t,i}(a_i - 2\delta_i) = u_{t,i}(a_i) = a_i - 2\delta_i$, the function $u_{t,i}$ has a non-degenerate maximum at $a_i - 2\delta_i$, the point $a_i - \delta_i$ is a unique critical point of $u_{t,i}$, and $u_{t,i}(a_i - \delta_i) = a_i - \delta_i(2 - t)$. We also put $u_{0,i} \equiv a_i - 4\delta_i$ so that $u_{t,i}$ is a continuous family parametrized by $t \in [0, 1]$. Explicitly, we define $u_{t,i}$ by

$$u_{t,i}\colon y \mapsto \frac{t}{\delta_i}[(a_i - y)(y - a_i + 2\delta_i)] + a_i - 2\delta_i. \tag{8}$$

A direct verification using formula (8) shows that the pullback of the map

$$u^*_{t,i}\alpha_1\colon u^*_{t,i}V_1 \longrightarrow [a_i - 2\delta_i, a_i] \times [0, 1]$$

composed with the projection onto the second factor is a Morse function m_i on a manifold of dimension $d+1$ with corners. The boundary of $u^*_{t,i}V_i$ has two vertical components, namely, $m_i^{-1}(0)$ diffeomorphic to $M_i \times [0, 1]$ and $m_i^{-1}(1)$ diffeomorphic to $T_i \sqcup T_i$; and two horizontal components, each diffeomorphic to $M_i \times [0, 1]$. We define a manifold V with $\partial V = V_0 \sqcup V_1$ by attaching $u^*_{t,i}V_i$, one for each i, to the complement to $T \times [0, 1]$ in $V_1 \times [0, 1]$ along the horizontal boundary components $M_i \times [0, 1]$. There is an obvious map $f\colon V \to [0, 1]$ which on $u^*_{t,i}V_i$ coincides with m_i and on the complement to manifolds $u^*_{t,i}V_i$ is the projection onto the second factor. It is a Morse function. There is also a proper function α on V that restricts to α_0 over V_0 and α_1 over V_1. We may choose β with image in a compact set so that (α, β) is an embedding $V \to \mathbb{R}^\infty$ extending the embedding (α_0, β_0) of V_0.

Finally, we define the concordance of the given $B\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{R}$ bundle to a $B\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{R}$ bundle; it is given by a $B\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{R}$ bundle over $[0, 1]$. The space $[0, 1]$ is covered by the sets $U_i = [0, \frac{9}{10})$ for $i = 1, ..., k$, and $U_i = (\frac{8}{10}, 1]$ for $i = k+1, ..., 2k$. Let $a_i\colon = a_{i-k} - 2\delta_{i-k}$ for $i = k+1, ..., 2k$. For a subset R of the set $\{1, ..., 2k\}$ the bundle of objects over U_R is a pair of the constant function $a_R = \min\{a_i\}$ where i ranges over indices in R, and the map (f, α, β) restricted to $f^{-1}(U_R)$. The transition functions are determined by the bundles of objects. □

Proof of Corollary 9.3. We have shown that $\alpha_\mathcal{R}$ induces a surjective map of sets of path components of classifying spaces. A similar argument shows that the map induced by α is injective. □

Proof of Theorem 9.1. Let us show that $\alpha_\mathcal{R}$ induces a surjective homomorphism of homotopy groups of classifying spaces. An element of the homotopy group of $B(B\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{R})$ is determined by the concordance class of a $B\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{R}$ bundle s over S^n for some $n \geq 0$ trivial over a neighborhood of the distinguished point of S^n. In its turn s is given by an open cover $U = \{U_R\}$ of S^n, and bundles of objects c_R; we note that the transition functions of a $B\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{R}$ bundle are determined by bundles of objects. We may assume that the distinguished point in S^n is covered by a unique open set, say U_0, and $s|U_0$ is already a $B\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{R}$ bundle (see Corollary 9.3).

By modifying s by concordance we may assume that each set U_R is a small open disc. We may also assume that the cover U is finite; if U is not finite, we may modify s by concordance that discards all but finitely many open sets in U.

We define a *U-subset* to be a subset $R \subset J$ indexing a non-empty set in the cover U. There is an involution $\iota \colon J \to J$ such that $\iota(R) \cap S = \emptyset$ for any U-subsets R, S in J. There is an open cover $\{U_{\iota(R)}\}$ of S^n such that $\bar{U}_{\iota(R)} \subset U_R$ for every U-subset $R \subset J$. Let ψ_R denote a function on U_R such that $\psi_R \equiv 1$ over $U_{\iota(R)}$ and $\psi_R \equiv 0$ over the complement to an open neighborhood of $\bar{U}_{\iota(R)}$ in U_R. We may also assume that $\psi | \psi_R^{-1}(0,1)$ is non-singular.

For each U-subset $R \subset J$ we may assume that the function a_R is constant, and $a_R \neq a_S$ for $R \neq S$. Let $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k$ be all values assumed by functions a_R except for the function over U_0. The concordance that we construct consists of k steps, one step for each value a_i. At the i-th step we modify the bundle only over U_R with $a_R \equiv a_i$ by the following construction.

The restriction $s|x$ to a point $x \in U_R$ with $\psi_R(x) = 1$ is a $B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundle over a point. By Lemma 9.2 there is a concordance h of $s|x$ to a $B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundle; the concordance h itself is a $B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundle over $[0,1]$.

Let H be a function on $U \times [0,1]$ defined by $H(x,t) = \psi(x)t$. Then $0 \leq H \leq 1$ and H pulls h back to a $B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundle over $U \times [0,1]$. This $B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundle pieces together with the trivial concordance of s restricted to $S^n \setminus U$ and produce a $B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundle over $S^n \times [0,1]$, which is a desired concordance of the i-th step. The composition of k concordances, one for each value a_i, is the concordance from the original $B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundle to a desired $B\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ bundle. Since the constructed concordance is trivial in a neighborhood of the distinguished point of S^n, it does correspond to a pointed homotopy of a map S^n to $B(B\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}})$.

Thus the inclusion α induces a surjective homomorphism of homotopy groups of classifying spaces. A similar argument shows that the homomorphism induced by α is injective. □

10. The relation of the b-principle to the Gromov h-principle

10.1. **Definition of the h-principle.** A *non-stable* differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ of order k is a $\mathcal{G}(n)$-invariant subset of $J^k(n)$ (see Appendix). A differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ is open if it is open as a subset of $J^k(n)$. A *solution* of $\mathcal{R}$ is a map $f \colon M \to N$ each map germ of which in some (and hence any) local coordinates represents a point in $\mathcal{R}$. A *formal solution* of $\mathcal{R}$ is a continuous map $f \colon M \to N$ together with a continuous family $F = \{F_x\}$ of map germs

$$F_x \colon (T_xM, 0) \longrightarrow (T_{f(x)}N, 0)$$

parametrized by $x \in M$ such that each map germ F_x is a solution germ of $\mathcal{R}$.

H-principle. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a differential relation on smooth maps $f\colon M \to N$ of manifolds. The strongest version of the h-principle asserts that the inclusion*

$$\mathbf{Hol}(\mathcal{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sol}(\mathcal{R}), \qquad f \mapsto (f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, ...)$$

of the space $\mathbf{Hol}(\mathcal{R})$ of genuine solutions into the space $\mathbf{Sol}(\mathcal{R})$ of formal solutions is a weak homotopy equivalence.

10.2. **The h-principle and b-principle for immersions.** We denote the trivial line bundle over an arbitrary space by ε, and the identity map of an arbitrary object by id. We recall that an *immersion* $f\colon M \to N$ is a smooth map whose differential df is of rank $\dim M$ at each point $x \in M$. We fix a negative integer d and consider immersions only of dimension d.

H-principle	B-principle
Definition 10.1. A *formal immersion* is a continuous fiberwise monomorphism $F\colon TM \to TN$ of tangent bundles of smooth manifolds.	**Definition 10.2.** A *stable formal immersion* is a continuous fiberwise monomorphism $F\colon TM \oplus \varepsilon \to TN \oplus \varepsilon$ of stable tangent bundles of manifolds.
Theorem 10.3 (Smale-Hirsch, [15]). *Every formal immersion* $F\colon TM \to TN$ *can be deformed by homotopy, which is a formal immersion itself, into the differential* $df\colon TM \to TN$ *of a genuine immersion $f\colon M \to N$.*	**Theorem 10.4** (Wells, [36]). *Every stable formal immersion* $F\colon TM \oplus \varepsilon \to TN \oplus \varepsilon$ *can be deformed by a cobordism, which is a stable formal immersion itself, into the stabilized differential* $df \oplus \mathrm{id}\colon TM' \oplus \varepsilon \longrightarrow TN \oplus \varepsilon$ *of a genuine immersion $f\colon M' \to N$.*

10.3. **The h-principle and b-principle for a general differential relation.** In this subsection we compare the h-principle and the b-principle for general differential relations.

H-principle	B-principle

Every differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ imposed on maps $M \to N$ of manifolds gives rise to spaces

- **Hol** of genuine solutions of $\mathcal{R}$, and
- **Sol** of formal solutions of $\mathcal{R}$.

The h-principle for $\mathcal{R}$ asserts that a map

$$\mathbf{Hol} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sol}$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence of spaces.

Theorem(Gromov, [14]) The h-principle holds true for every open differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ imposed on maps $M \to N$ of manifolds provided that N is open.

Every open stable differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ imposed on maps of dimension d gives rise to cohomology theories

- $k^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ of genuine solutions of $\mathcal{R}$, and
- $h^*_{\mathcal{R}}$ of stable formal solutions of $\mathcal{R}$.

The b-principle for $\mathcal{R}$ asserts that a map

$$k^*_{\mathcal{R}} \longrightarrow h^*_{\mathcal{R}}$$

is an equivalence of cohomology theories.

Theorem. The b-principle for an open stable differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ imposed on maps of dimension d holds true under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.5.

11. APPLICATIONS OF THE B-PRINCIPLE

11.1. **Cobordism groups of solutions of $\mathcal{R}$.** One of the most obvious applications of the b-principle is computation of cobordism groups of solutions to differential relations. One of the first applications of the b-principle is due to Wells [36] who computed rational cobordism groups of immersions.

Theorem 11.1 (Wells)**.** *Let $T\xi_d$ denote the Thom space of the universal vector bundle of dimension d. Then modulo finite group the bordism group of immersions of codimension d into $\mathbb{R}^{n+d}$ is isomorphic to $H_{n+d}(T\xi_d)$.*

Proof. An argument similar to that in Example 5.9 shows that in the normal structure (B, f) of the immersion differential relation imposed on maps of codimension d the space B is the classifying space BO_d of vector bundles of dimension d, while $f\colon B \to \mathrm{BO}\times\{d\}$ is the standard inclusion. Thus the spectrum of the bordism group of immersions is given by the suspension spectrum of the space $T\xi_d$. Hence the rational bordism group of immersions of codimension d into $\mathbb{R}^{n+d}$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{n+d}(h\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}) \approx \pi^{st}_{n+d}(T\xi_d) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \approx H_{n+d}(T\xi_d; \mathbb{Q})$. □

The cobordism classes of Legendrian immersions of manifolds of dimension n form an abelian group L_n under the operation given by the disjoint union. The Eliashberg b-principle for Legendrian immersions [6] lead to computation of the rational cobordism group of Legendrian immersions [35]. In fact the Eliashberg equivariant spectrum for Legendrian immersions is a prototype of our space $h\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Theorem 11.2 (Eliashberg). *Let $L = \oplus_n^\infty L_n$ be the graded group of Legendrian immersions of manifolds of all dimensions. Then*

$$L \otimes \mathbb{Q} \approx \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ...],$$

where $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ...]$ is the exterior algebra in terms of classes α_i of degree $4i+1$.

Computation of cobordism groups of maps with prescribed singularities were carried out for an infinite series of singularity types (called Morin singularities) by Szűcs [34] and the author [28] for maps of negative and positive dimensions respectively by computing rational homology groups of the space $h\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$. We emphasize that the b-principle type theorems are essential for these computations.

Theorem 11.3 (Szűcs). *Modulo finite group the oriented cobordism group of Morin maps of codimension d of manifolds of dimension n is isomorphic to $H_n(BO_d)$ if d is even and $H_n(BO_{d+1})$ if d is odd.*

11.2. **Invariants of solutions of $\mathcal{R}$.** In all mentioned examples, the cobordism groups are characterized in terms of invariants of $\mathcal{R}$-maps, called *characteristic classes* of $\mathcal{R}$-maps.

Definition 11.4. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an open stable differential relation imposed on maps of dimension d. A *characteristic class* of $\mathcal{R}$-maps is a function that assigns a class $w(f) \in H^*(N)$ to every $\mathcal{R}$-map $f : M \to N$ so that

- $w(f) = w(g)$ for $\mathcal{R}$-maps $f, g : M \to N$ homotopic through an $\mathcal{R}$-map,
- if $f : M \to N$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-map and $j : N' \to N$ is transversal to f, then

  $$w(j^*f) = j^*(w(f))$$

  for the pullback $\mathcal{R}$-map $j^*f : M' \to N'$.

Theorem 11.5 (Sadykov). *The algebra of rational characteristic classes of Morin maps of dimension d is a tensor product of polynomial and exterior algebras*

$$\mathbb{Q}[\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots] \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}[\eta_1, \eta_2, \cdots],$$

where the classes $\tau_1, \tau_2, ...$ and $\eta_1, \eta_2, ...$ are cohomology classes described in the paper [28].

Remarkably, though the geometric description of characteristic classes is usually not difficult, the existence of some of them is surprising and not obvious [28]; some of these classes are defined by means of singularity sets that do not possess the fundamental class.

12. Appendix

12.1. **Differential relations.** Let M and N be two manifolds. A (smooth) *map germ* $f : (M, x) \to (N, y)$ is represented by a smooth map f from a neighborhood of a point x in M to a neighborhood of a point y in N such that $f(x) = y$.

Two maps f and g represent the same map germ if their restrictions to some neighborhood of x in M coincide.

Let d be an arbitrary integer; it will be fixed throughout the appendix. Let $J^k(n)$ denote the set of equivalence classes $[f]$ of map germs

$$f\colon (\mathbb{R}^{n+d}, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \tag{9}$$

where two map germs f, g are equivalent if and only if all derivatives at 0 of f and g of order $\leq k$ are the same. The space $J^k(n)$ has an obvious structure of a smooth manifold diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^N$ for some positive integer N. It admits a smooth action of the group $\mathcal{G}(n)$ of pairs (α, β) of diffeomorphism germs α of $(\mathbb{R}^{n+d}, 0)$ and β of $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$. An element (α, β) takes $[f]$ onto $[\beta \circ f \circ \alpha^{-1}]$. There are inclusions $J^k(n) \subset J^k(n+1)$ that take f onto $f \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$ where $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the identity map of $\mathbb{R}$. The colimit of these inclusions is denoted by $\mathbf{J}$. Similarly, there are inclusions $\mathcal{G}(n) \to \mathcal{G}(n+1)$, whose colimit is denoted by $\mathbf{G}$. The actions of the groups $\mathcal{G}(n)$ on $J^k(n)$ induce an action of the group $\mathbf{G}$ on $\mathbf{J}$.

An *unfolding* of a map germ $f\colon X \to Y$ at x is a cartesian diagram of smooth map germs

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(P, p) \arrow[r, "F"] & (Q, F(p)) \\
(X, x) \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[u, "i"] & (Y, f(x)) \arrow[u, "j"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where P and Q are smooth manifolds, p is a point in P, and i and j are immersion germs such that j is transverse to F. An unfolding is *trivial* it there are map germs $r\colon P \to X$ at p and $s\colon Q \to Y$ at $F(p)$ such that $r \circ i = \mathrm{id}_X$, $s \circ j = \mathrm{id}_Y$ and $f \circ r = s \circ F$. A map germ f is *stable* if each of its unfoldings is trivial. An unfolding is *stable* if the map F in the diagram is stable as a map germ. It is known that the subset of map germs with no stable unfoldings is of infinite codimension in the space of all map germs (e.g., see [13]). Thus the stability condition in Definition 12.1 is a mild condition.

Definition 12.1. A *stable differential relation* $\mathcal{R}$ of order k is defined to be an arbitrary $\mathbf{G}$-invariant subset of $\mathbf{J}$ of equivalence classes of stable map germs. A *solution* of $\mathcal{R}$ is a smooth map of dimension d each map germ of which has a stable unfolding that in some (and hence any) local coordinates represents a point in $\mathcal{R}$. A differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ is *open*, if it is open as a subset of $\mathbf{J}$. A solution of a differential relation $\mathcal{R}$ is also called an *$\mathcal{R}$-map*.

For example, every Thom-Boardman differential relation [26] is an example of a stable differential relation. Its solutions are maps with prescribed Thom-Boardman singularities [3]. Immersion and submersion differential relations are the simplest Thom-Boardman differential relations.

Stable differential relations $\mathcal{R}$ satisfy two properties that are important for our purposes. First, given a solution $f\colon M \to N$, the map $f \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}}\colon M \times \mathbb{R} \to N \times \mathbb{R}$ is also a solution. And, second, given a solution f over N and a smooth map u to N transversal to f, the pullback u^*f is a also a solution.

12.2. **Classifying spaces of topological categories.** A *topological* category $\mathcal{C}$ is a small category in which the sets of objects $\mathrm{Ob}\,\mathcal{C}$ and morphisms $\mathrm{Mor}\,\mathcal{C}$ are topological spaces, while all structure maps are continues. We say that two topological categories are *weakly equivalent* if their classifying spaces are weakly homotopy equivalent. The classifying space $B\mathcal{C}$ of a topological category classifies *concordance classes of* $\mathcal{C}$ *bundles*.

Let J be a fixed sufficiently big indexing set. For any family of sets $\{U_j\}$ indexed by a finite subset $R \subset J$, we define U_R to be the intersection $\cap U_j$.

Definition 12.2. A $\mathcal{C}$ *bundle* over a topological space X is a triple (U_R, c_R, φ_{RS}) of

- a locally finite open cover $U = \{U_j\}$ of X by open subsets indexed by J,
- a continuous bundle of objects $c_R\colon U_R \to \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathcal{C}$ for each finite subset $R \subset J$, and
- a continuous transition function $\varphi_{RS}\colon U_S \to \mathrm{Mor}\,\mathcal{C}$, where $\varphi_{RS}(x)$ is a morphism from $c_S(x)$ to $c_R(x)$ for each $x \in U_S$ and finite non-empty subsets $R \subseteq S \subset J$

such that

- each $\varphi_{RR}(x)$ is the identity morphism of $c_R(x)$, and
- $\varphi_{RT} = \varphi_{RS} \circ \varphi_{ST}$ over U_T for all $R \subseteq S \subseteq T$ of finite non-empty subsets of J.

Example 12.3. The notion of a $\mathcal{C}$ bundle is a generalization of the notion of a principle G bundle for a discrete group G. Indeed, given a discrete group G, let $\mathcal{C}$ be the category with one object and the space of morphisms $\mathrm{Mor}\,\mathcal{C} = G$. Then $B\mathcal{C} \simeq BG$ and a $\mathcal{C}$ bundle is a principle G bundle.

Let $s = (U_R, c_R, \varphi_{RS})$ be a $\mathcal{C}$ bundle over a space X. Then a continuous map $f\colon Y \to X$ determines a $\mathcal{C}$ bundle f^*s given by a triple $(U'_R, c'_R, \varphi'_{RS})$ where

$$U'_R = f^{-1}(U_R), \quad c'_R = c_R \circ f|U'_R, \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi'_{RS} = \varphi_{RS} \circ f|U'_S.$$

If f is an inclusion, then f^*s is often denoted by $s|Y$. We say that two $\mathcal{C}$ bundles s_0 and s_1 over a topological space X are *concordant* if there exists a $\mathcal{C}$ bundle s over $X \times [0,1]$ such that $s|X \times \{i\} = s_i$ for $i = 0, 1$.

Theorem 12.4. *Let* $\mathcal{C}$ *be a topological category and* X *a CW complex. Then the homotopy classes of maps* $X \to B\mathcal{C}$ *are in bijective correspondence with the concordance classes of* $\mathcal{C}$ *bundles over* X.

Theorem 12.4 may have a folklore status [22]. It follows for example from [22, Theorem 4.1.2]. Indeed, given a topological category $\mathcal{C}$, one defines a category valued sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ that associates to a CW complex X a category $\mathcal{F}(X)$ with $\operatorname{Ob}\mathcal{F}(X)$ given by continuous maps $X \to \operatorname{Ob}\mathcal{C}$ and $\operatorname{Mor}\mathcal{F}(X)$ given by continuous maps $X \to \operatorname{Mor}\mathcal{C}$. The cocycle sheaf of $\mathcal{F}$ associates to a CW complex X the set of $\mathcal{C}$ bundles. Thus the concordance classes of $\mathcal{C}$ bundles are classified by $B\mathcal{C}$.

12.3. (B, f) **structures.** Let us recall that the direct limit BO of spaces BO_n classifies stable vector bundles of a fixed dimension d in the following sense. A *stable vector bundle* of dimension d over a topological space X is represented by a pair (ξ, η) of vector bundles over X with $d = \dim\xi - \dim\eta$. The equivalence relation on representatives is generated by identifications of (ξ, η) and (ξ', η') for pairs with $\xi \oplus \eta' \cong \xi' \oplus \eta$. The stable vector bundles of pairs $(\xi, 0)$, $(0, \eta)$ and (ξ, η) are also denoted by ξ, $-\eta$ and $\xi - \eta$ or $\xi \ominus \eta$ respectively. Stable vector bundles of dimension d over a topological space X are in bijective correspondence with homotopy classes of maps $f\colon X \to BO$.

A *normal* (B, f) *structure* is a stable vector bundle $f\colon B \to \mathrm{BO}$ of dimension $-d$ for some integer d. Each normal (B, f) structure determines a cohomology theory. Indeed, let f_{n+d} be the restriction of f to $B_{n+d} = f^{-1}(\mathrm{BO}_n)$ and ξ_n denote the universal vector bundle over BO_n. Let T_n denote the one point compactification of the vector bundle $f_n^*\xi_n$ of dimension n over B_{n+d}. Then the direct limit of spaces $\Omega^{n+d}T_n$ is an infinite loop space, which determines a cohomology theory $\mathfrak{h}^*$. For a topological space X and an integer n, the group

$$\mathfrak{h}^n(X) = \lim_{i\to\infty}[X, \Omega^{i+d}T_{n+i}]$$

is called the n-th *cohomology group* of X with respect to the theory $\mathfrak{h}^*$ (e.g., see the book [32]).

There is an involution I on BO that takes the equivalence class of a pair (ξ, η) onto that of (η, ξ). The cohomology theory $\mathfrak{h}^*$ can be described not only by a normal (B, f) structure, but also by a *tangential* (B, f') *structure* $f' = I \circ f$, which is a stable vector bundle $B \to \mathrm{BO}$ of dimension d; e.g., see [32].

Given a tangential structure $f\colon B \to \mathrm{BO}$, a *tangential structure on a map* $u\colon M \to N$ of smooth manifolds is a lift $M \to B$ with respect to f of the map $M \to \mathrm{BO}$ classifying the stable tangent bundle $TM \ominus TN$ of u. The group $\mathfrak{h}^0(N)$ is the cobordism group of maps $M \to N$ of smooth manifolds M equipped with a tangential (B, f) structure.

References

[1] Y. Ando, Cobordisms of maps with singularities of a given class, Alg. Geom. Topol., 8 (2008), 1989–2029.

[2] M. Barratt, S. Priddy, On the homology of the non-connected monoids and their associated groups, Comment. Math. Helv., 47 (1972), 1–14.

[3] J. M. Boardman, Singularities of differentiable maps, Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. Publ. Math., 33 (1967), 21–57.

[4] J. Ebert, A vanishing theorem for characteristic classes of odd-dimensional manifold bundles, arXiv:0902.4719.

[5] J. Ebert, Algebraic independence of generalized Morita-Miller-Mumford classes, arXiv:0910.1030v2.

[6] Y. Eliashberg, Cobordisme des solutions de relations différentielles, South Rhone seminar on geometry. I, Lyon, 1983, Trav. Cours, 1984, 17–31.

[7] Y. Eliashberg, S. Galatius, N. Mishachev, Madsen-Weiss for geometrically minded topologists, arXiv:0907.4226.

[8] Y. Eliashberg, N. Mishachev, Introduction to the h-principle, Grad. Stud. Math, v48, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 2002.

[9] D. B. Fuks, Quillenization and bordism, Funkts. Anal. Prilozh., 8 (1974), 36–42; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl., 4 (1970), 143-151.

[10] S. Galatius, Mod p homology of the stable mapping class group, Topol., 43 (2004), 1105–1132.

[11] S. Galatius, I. Madsen, U. Tillmann, M. Weiss, The homotopy type of the cobordism category, Acta Math. 202 (2009), 195–239.

[12] S. Galatius, O. Randal-Williams, Monoids of moduli spaces of manifolds, arXiv:0905.2855.

[13] C. G. Gibson, K. Wirthmüller, A. A. du Plessis, E. J. Looijenga, Topological stability of smooth mappings, Lect. Notes Math. 552, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1976.

[14] M. Gromov, Partial differential relations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1986.

[15] M. W. Hirsch, Immersions of manifolds, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 93 (1959), 242–276.

[16] K. Ikegami, Cobordism group of Morse functions on manifolds, Hiroshima Math. J., 34 (2004), 211–230.

[17] K. Ikegami, O. Saeki, Cobordism group of Morse functions on surfaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 55 (2003), 1081–1094.

[18] B. Kalmár, Cobordism group of Morse functions on unoriented surfaces, Kyushu J. Math., 59 (2005), 351–363.

[19] M. Kazarian, Classifying spaces of singularities and Thom polynomials, New developments in singularity theory, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem. 21 (2001), 117–134.

[20] M. Kazarian, Multisingularities, cobordisms, and enumerative geometry (Russian), Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 58 (2003), 29–88; English translation in Russian Math. Surveys 58 (2003), 665–724.

[21] M. Kazarian, Thom polynomials, Singularity theory and its applications, Edited by S. Izumiya, G. Ishikawa, H. Tokunaga, I. Shimada and T. Sano, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 43 (2007), 85–135.

[22] I. Madsen, M. S. Weiss, The stable moduli space of Riemann surfaces: Mumford's conjecture, preprint, Ann. of Math. (2) 165 (2007), 843–941.

[23] O. Randal-Williams, The homology of the stable nonorientable mapping class group, Algebr. Geom. Topol., 8 (2008), 1811–1832.

[24] R. Rimányi, A. Szűcs, Pontrjagin-Thom type construction for maps with singularities, Topology, 37 (1998), 1177–1191.

[25] R. Sadykov, Bordism groups of special generic mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 133 (2005), 931–936.

[26] R. Sadykov, Bordism groups of solutions to differential relations, Alg. Geom. Topol., 9 (2009), 2311–2349.

[27] R. Sadykov, Singular cobordism categories, arXiv:0804.1267.

[28] R. Sadykov, Invariants of singular sets of smooth maps, arXiv:1004.0460.

[29] O. Saeki, Cobordism groups of special generic functions and groups of homotopy spheres, Japan. J. Math., 28 (2002), 287–297.

[30] O. Saeki, T. Yamamoto, Singular fibers and characteristic classes, Topol. Appl. 155 (2007), 112-120.

[31] G. Segal, Categories and cohomology theories, Topology, 13 (1974) 293–312.

[32] E. Stong, Notes on cobordism theory, Princeton Univ. Press and Univ. Tokyo Press, 1968.

[33] R. Switzer, Algebraic Topology–Homology and homotopy. Reprint of the 1975 original. Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[34] A. Szűcs, Cobordism of singular maps, Geom. and Topol., 12 (2008), 2379–2452.

[35] V. Vassiliev, Lagrangian and Legendrian characteristic classes, MCCME, Moscow, 2000.

[36] R. Wells, Cobordism groups of immersions, Topology, 5 (1966), 281–294.

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Canada
E-mail address: `rstsdk@gmail.com`